# **Robustness of N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> as tracer of the CO snowline**



Merel van 't Hoff<sup>1</sup>, Catherine Walsh<sup>1,2</sup>, Mihkel Kama<sup>1,3</sup>, Stefano Facchini<sup>4</sup> & Ewine van Dishoeck<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, The Netherlands; vthoff@strw.leidenuniv.nl <sup>2</sup> School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leeds, UK <sup>3</sup> Institute of Astronomy, Cambridge, UK <sup>4</sup> MPE, Garching, Germany



**Snowline:** midplane radius in a protoplanetary disk beyond which a molecular species freezes out onto dust grains.

Snowlines are important for planet formation and composition.





#### **ALMA observations of** the TW Hya disk



## Qi et al. 2013

## N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> is assumed to be a good tracer of the CO snowline

The CO snowline is difficult to observe directly, but can be traced with  $N_2H^+[1,2]$ , because  $N_2H^+$  can only be abundant when CO is frozen out:

> $N_2 + H_3^+ \rightarrow N_2 H^+ + H_2$  $CO + H_3^+ \rightarrow HCO^+ + H_2$  $N_2H^+ + CO \rightarrow HCO^+ + N_2$

Assess robustness of N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> using a small chemical network



 $N_2H^+$  does not peak at the CO snowline; the reduction of gas-phase CO at the snowline is not sufficient for N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> to reach an appreciable abundance.



This incorporates only the essential processes and species [3], and a physical model for TW Hya [4].

Vary CO and N<sub>2</sub> abundances, and determine the position of the N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> column density peak.



Simulate N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> J = 4-3 emission with the radiative transfer code LIME [5].

#### References

0.10

van 't Hoff, M.L.R., Walsh, C., Kama, M., Facchini, S., & van Dishoeck, E.F., A&A, accepted.

[1] Qi, C., Öberg, K.I., Wilner, D.J. et al. 2013, Science, 341, 630. [2] Qi, C., Öberg, K.I., Andrews, S.M. et al. 2015, ApJ, 813, 128. **[3]** Aikawa, Y., Furuya, K., Nomura, H., & Qi, C. 2015, ApJ, 807, 120. [4] Kama, M., Bruderer, S., van Dishoeck, E.F. et al. 2016, A&A, 592, A83. [5] Brinch, C. & Hogerheijde, M.R. 2010, A&A, 523, A25. [6] Schwartz, K.R., Bergin, E.A., Cleeves, L.I., et al. 2016, ApJ, 823, 91.

### The relation between N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> and CO is more complicated than just CO freeze-out

Therefore, chemical modeling, as outlined in our work, rather than column density fitting, is necessary to translate  $N_{2}H^{+}$  emission into a CO snowline location.

**Observed emission peak CO snowline:** expected location of N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> peak

The N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> column density peaks at least 5 AU outside of the CO snowline.

N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> formed above the CO snow surface shifts the emission outward with respect to the CO snowline.